resolution for this case and feel the review of this evidence could expedite a plea agreement.

28

1	4.	The defendant is not in custody and agrees to the continuance.
2	5.	The parties agree to the continuance.
3	6.	The additional time is to allow defense counsel sufficient time to investigation
4	and litigate aı	ny necessary pretrial issues and to prepare for trial, if this case cannot be
5	resolved thro	ugh negotiations.
6	7.	Since April 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic and the Chief Judge's series of
7	General Orde	ers regarding the pandemic, have slowed the prompt administration of justice in
8	the courts of t	the United States. Despite the District of Nevada's recent ability to start
9	conducting a	limited number of jury trials, COVID based delays in this District threaten to
10	persist into th	e immediate future.
11	8.	Additionally, this continuance is not made for the purposes of delay, and
12	denial of this	request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. The additional
13	time requeste	d is excludable in computing the time within which trial must commence under
14	the Speedy T	rial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), based on the factors under §
15	3161(h)(7)(B)	(I), (iv).
16	DATE	D this 19th day of August, 2022.
17	DATE	b this 17th day of Magast, 2022.
18		/s/ David Brown
19		DAVID T. BROWN, ESQ. Counsel for Defendant
20		
21		/s/ Christopher Burton
22		CHRISTOPHER BURTON, ESQ. United States Attorney
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 2:20-cr-00265-JCM-BNW Document 53 Filed 08/22/22 Page 3 of 5

and litigate any necessary pretrial issues and to prepare for trial, if this case cannot be resolved through negotiations.

- 7. Since April 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic and the Chief Judge's series of General Orders regarding the pandemic, have slowed the prompt administration of justice in the courts of the United States. Despite the District of Nevada's recent ability to start conducting a limited number of jury trials, COVID based delays in this District threaten to persist into the immediate future.
- 8. Additionally, this continuance is not made for the purposes of delay, and denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. The additional time requested is excludable in computing the time within which trial must commence under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), based on the factors under § 3161(h)(7)(B)(I), (iv).

CONCLUSION OF LAW

There is good cause in continuing the trial and the ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for the preliminary hearing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excusable under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 20 5.1(d) and the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161 (h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).

///

23 / / /

3

8

13

14

15

17

18

19

22

24 ///

25

26

27

28

Case 2:20-cr-00265-JCM-BNW Document 53 Filed 08/22/22 Page 5 of 5

	1		
1	IT IS ORDERED that the trial currently scheduled for September 26, 2022, at 9:00 a.m.		
2	and the calendar call currently scheduled for September 21, 2022, at the hour of 1:30 p.m. be		
3	continued to January 4, 2023, at 1:30 p.m. and the trial be continued to January 9, 2023, at		
4	9:00 a.m.		
5			
6	DATED August 22, 2022		
7	DATED August 22, 2022.		
8	Xellus C. Mahan		
9	THE MONORABLE JAMES MAHAN United States District Judge		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
วยไ	A Company of the Comp		